

Message

From: Jones, Samantha [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EAC77FE3B20C4667B8C534C90C15A830-JONES, SAMANTHA]
Sent: 8/25/2016 4:11:56 PM
To: Makris, Susan [Makris.Susan@epa.gov]; Segal, Deborah [Segal.Deborah@epa.gov]; Birchfield, Norman [Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov]; White, Paul [White.Paul@epa.gov]
Subject: ERC Review: Benzo[a]pyrene
Attachments: Issues for BaP ERC review-8-19-16.docx; BaP_Comment-Response appendix-8-19-2016-cleancopy.docx; BaP Tox Review-post SAB-MASTER-8-19-16-cleancopy.docx; BaP Tox Review-revised EPR draft-8-19-2016-redline.docx; BaP-Supplemental Info-revised post EPR draft-8-19-2016-redline.docx; BaP-Supplemental Info-revised post SAB review 8-19-2016-cleancopy.docx; EPA-SAB-Final BaP Report.pdf

Hello ERC reviewers!

I received the benzo[a]pyrene documents (BaP) and have attached them here. Comments are due by **Thursday, September 15, 2016**. Please respond to this group with your comments. If you have any particular questions about the assessment, please reach out to the team (Kathleen Newhouse, Karen Hogan, Andrew Kraft, etc). If you have questions about ERC, please let me know! As a reminder, BaP recently underwent peer review by the SAB (final report attached) and the assessment has been revised to address the recommendations from the peer review. Attached here are several key files:

- 1) clean and track changes versions of both the Toxicological Review and Supplemental Information
- 2) summary and response to the SAB comments in a separate file (for ease of review)
- 3) specific issues raised by the team for discussion by the ERC

Norm and I will serve as primary reviewers to look at all of the files ☺ (thanks, Norm!). The rest of you are secondary reviewers and are asked to focus on specific areas. I would recommend that you guys start with the specific issues raised by the team and also looking at the related response to SAB comments. You should go into the tox review (or supplemental) as needed for your areas.

Sue, we are asking you to look at the repro/dev issues raised and how we responded to related SAB comments.

Deb, we are asking you to look at the neuro/dev neuro issues raised and how we responded to related SAB comments.

Paul, we are asking you to look at the quantitative issues and how we responded to related SAB comments.

I will schedule a meeting soon after the comments are due. At the meeting, we would focus on walking through the specific issues raised by the team as well as any major comments that each of you raise for discussion during your review.

Thanks again for your patience and for participating in the ERC review!

Samantha

Samantha J. Jones, Ph.D
Acting Associate Director for Health, ORD/NCEA
Phone: (703) 347-8580